

Cottonwood Creek Charter School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year

Published During 2018-19

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Cottonwood Creek Charter School
Street	3425 Brush St
City, State, Zip	Cottonwood
Phone Number	5303477200
Principal	Mark
E-mail Address	mboyle@cwusd.com
Web Site	www.cottonwoodcreekcharter.com
CDS Code	45699550121640

District Contact Information	
District Name	Cottonwood Creek Charter School
Phone Number	5303477200
Superintendent	Mark Boyle
E-mail Address	mboyle@cwusd.com
Web Site	www.cottonwoodcreekcharter.com

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

Cottonwood Creek Charter School is located in the historic town of Cottonwood in Northern California. Cottonwood Creek Charter School is sponsored by the Cottonwood School District and offers a quality, tuition free education to K -8 students. Our family-friendly school schedules are independent study based. This allows parents to have the option of homeschooling their students or sending them to our site based classrooms for a more traditional classroom education. This model ensures that each student, whether home schooled or attending site classes, receives a personalized learning plan that focuses on his/her educational strengths and needs. Our site based classes are small (approximately 22 students per class) to optimize learning and teacher/student interaction. Our site classes are held Monday - Thursday mornings. In the afternoon, students have the option to select electives in Music, Art, Foreign Language and Technology. Fridays are reserved for field trips. Field trip days provide educational and hands-on learning in nature and the community. Our field trips have included: skiing, hiking, visiting local museums, Shasta Dam Tour, Shasta Caverns, and a Community Service Day.

Our Mission Statement:

Students at Cottonwood Creek Charter School will become well rounded participants in their community today as well as in the future. We will use a blended learning model that combines both site based classes and Personalized Learning to develop an individualized program of study for every student, melding "hands-on" educational opportunities through technological resources, educational field trips, local experts and a dedicated, committed staff of talented teachers and parents.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	25
Grade 1	28
Grade 2	27
Grade 3	24
Grade 4	26
Grade 5	25
Grade 6	28
Grade 7	24
Grade 8	18
Total Enrollment	225

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	2.7
Asian	0.4
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	8.4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	83.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	33.3
English Learners	0.0
Students with Disabilities	4.4
Foster Youth	0.0

A. Conditions of Learning**State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19
With Full Credential	12	12	12	12
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2019

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	CA Treasures	Yes	0
Mathematics	Everyday Math and CPM	Yes	0
Science	McGraw-Hill / Glencoe	Yes	0
History-Social Science	McGraw-Hill / Glencoe	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Cottonwood Creek Charter leased the East Cottonwood School Campus for 5 years and last year Cottonwood Creek Charter School purchased the surplussed campus. The campus currently is comprised of 21 classrooms, a library, a computer lab, one staff room, a multipurpose room with a stage, a school office, a playground and and a large soccer field. At the time of publication of this SARC, 100% of all toilets on campus were in working condition. A full time custodian ensures classrooms, restrooms, and campus grounds are kept clean and safe. Cottonwood Creek Charter School administers a scheduled maintenance program to ensure that classrooms and facilities are maintained to a degree of adequacy that provides a suitable learning environment. Maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: November 2018		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Fair	

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: November 2018	
Overall Rating	Good

B. Pupil Outcomes**State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

**CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven**

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	57.0	59.0	48.0	49.0	48.0	50.0
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	59.0	58.0	40.0	47.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

**CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	144	140	97.22	59.29
Male	52	50	96.15	60.00
Female	92	90	97.83	58.89
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	13	13	100.00	46.15
White	122	118	96.72	60.17
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	28	28	100.00	60.71
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	144	140	97.22	57.86
Male	52	50	96.15	66
Female	92	90	97.83	53.33
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	13	13	100	46.15
White	122	118	96.72	60.17
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	28	28	100	64.29
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Subject	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Cottonwood Creek emphasizes Parental Involvement throughout its school program. In addition to a weekly newsletters, parents attend students conferences, volunteer in the classrooms, participate in TEAM CLEAN (a campus cleaning program); attend Friday Field Trips, participate in Parent Club, attend LCAP Meetings, teach elective courses, organize school events and participate in school fundraisers. Cottonwood Creek Charter School also provides two annual parent surveys which help set the direction of the school. The first survey is a School Climate Survey which provides parental feedback on the overall school climate including school safety, bullying, school improvements ideas, elective course ideas, and overall school satisfaction. The second survey is a Teacher Effectiveness Survey and with this survey tool parents rank the effectiveness of their child's teacher for the school year.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	2.4	2.6	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2018-19)

The School Site Safety Plan was last updated in March 2019 and is revised and updated annually. The Safety Plan is revised by the Safety Committee, which consists of site administration, teachers and clerical staff. The school's safety plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during a disaster. Emergency drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year. Cottonwood Creek Charter School provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Cottonwood Creek Charter School. The school is in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Highly visible, organized, and professional adult supervision is provided by teachers, paraprofessionals, and administrative staff to insure the campus is safe and well supervised so all students can achieve social, academic, physical, and moral excellence.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2015-16				2016-17				2017-18			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	17	1			5	1			23		1	
1	22		1		11	2			24		1	
2	24		1		24		1		24		1	
3					24		1		23		1	
4	19	1							24		1	
5									24		1	
6	19	1	4		21		4		24		4	
Other	19	1			22		1		13	1		

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2015-16				2016-17				2017-18			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	19.0	2	2		19.0	1	1		19.0	1	1	
Mathematics	19.0	1	1		19.0	1	1		19.0	1	1	
Science	20.0	1	1		19.0	1	1		19.0	1	1	
Social Science	20.0	1	1		19.0	1	1		19.0	1	1	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	NA	NA
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	NA	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	NA	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.5	N/A
Psychologist	.1	N/A
Social Worker	NA	N/A
Nurse	.1	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.15	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	NA	N/A
Other	NA	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$6750	\$889	\$5861	\$53,000
District	N/A	N/A		\$62,912
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A		
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,125	\$63,218
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

NA

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,223	\$44,375
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$60,785	\$65,926
Highest Teacher Salary	\$78,433	\$82,489
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$95,249	\$106,997
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$96,823	\$109,478
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	
Superintendent Salary	\$114,986	\$121,894
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	32.0	32.0
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.0	7.0

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences and workshops throughout the year. All teachers have the opportunity to participate in district and school sponsored in-service training and in outside training of their own choosing.